Dear UBIE-members!

Please find the abstracts for our contributions for discussion at the UBIE meeting in Lisbon.

Abstract for Contribution A:

Correlation between "New ECI on UBI" and "Eurodividend"

It would make sense, to perform both projects in parallel.

Project "New ECI on UBI":

We request the EU Commission to recommand and support all Member States in introducing an

Unconditional Basic Income that ensures every person's material existence and possibility for participation in society.

Project "Exploring Eurodividend and other possible pathways towards unconditional basic income in Europe":

If the Eurodividend or other steps towards UBI will be successful already before,

can this make easier the phase of the introduction of the UBI (when it has to be decided on the financing),

because UBIE could use the advantages of these steps.

Abstract for Contribution B:

An ECI with the title "**START UBI"** is proposed. The Subject matter and the Objectives are described

and an additional ANNEX with information in detail are given (e.g. the criterias of UBI).

The relationship of START UBI with the relevant paragraphs of the Treaty on Europian Union (TFE)

and the Treaty on the Functioning of the European Union (TFEU) are included in an ATTACHMENT of the Contribution B.

Abstract for Contribution C:

"ECI on UBI Campaign Plan (Version 21. Aug. 2017)"

We try to answer several questions:

- 1. What is the political objective of the campaign, i.e. what change do we want to trigger?
- 2. What is our message?

- 3. Whom do we want to address?
- 4. In order to bring our message into the public, we need "stories":
- 5. How are we able to mobilise?
- 6. Which organisational structure and resources do we need?
- 7. Campaigning time schedule
- 8. How does the political goal of the campaign further UBIE's strategy?
- 9. What are the indicators to measure success?
- 10. Which results do we need from this campaign?

Best regards

Klaus Sambor

PS: The Contributions A, B and C are attached (without changes). We hope, that the papers could be improved (if necessary) after our discussions in Lisbon.